(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ)

ತಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ತರುವುದು ತಪ್ಪಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡದೆ ದುಡ್ಡು ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿರಲಲ್ಲ. ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವವರು ಯಾವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್.ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದೇ ಮೊದಲನೆಯದು. ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ದುಡ್ಡು ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ. ಅದರಂತೆ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ದುಡ್ಡು ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಚೀಟಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವವರು ಅರಣ್ಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಭೀಮಣ್ಣ.—ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಪರ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ತಂದರೆ ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡು ಚಾರ್ಜ್ಸ್ ಎಂದು ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇಲ್ಲೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಮುನಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ್ ಚಾರ್ಜಸ್ ಎಷ್ಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಡ್ಯಾಂಡಿನಿಂದ ತಂದರೆ ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್ ಕರೆನ್ಸಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಕರೆನ್ಸಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1·84 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಭೀಮಣ್ಣ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ವಸೂಲು ಆಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ್ ಚಾರ್ಜಸ್ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಂತ ತಂದರೆ ಬೇರೆ. ಸ್ವಂತ ಪರ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ತಂದರೆ ಒಂದೂ ಮುಕ್ಕಾಲು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಕರೆನ್ಸಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ತಂದರೆ ಮೂರರಷ್ಟು ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ್ ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ನಿಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಸ್. ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Enquiry against Deputy Excise Commissioner of Gulbarga by the Anti-Corruption Department.

Q.—2622. **Sri V. KUMAR NAIK** (Shorapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Anti-Corruption Department conducted an enquiry a few months ago regarding certain malpractices of the Deputy Excise Commissioner of Gulbarga ;

(*b*) if so, the results of the said enquiry and the action taken or proposed to be taken against the said officer ?

A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Yes. An investigation into certain allegations received against the Deputy Excise Commissioner, Gulbarga, was conducted by the Anti-Corruption Department.

(*b*) The enquiry disclosed only a few minor irregularities ; suitable instructions have been issued to the Officer.

Sri V. KUMAR NAIK.—What are the allegations against the Deputy Excise Commissioner ?

*Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—There are 14 allegations, all very small. If you permit me I will read them out. They are not important ones.

Sri V. KUMAR NAIK.—What are the minor irregularities found from those enquiries ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—He had four servants in his house and he was asked to reduce them to two. That was the first irregularity. It seems he used a lot of electric current and on enquiry it was found that during cloudy weather he was having more lights and during summer he was using a refrigerator. So, it had cost some amount of energy. He was asked to adjust and pay.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I suggest that one of the allegations was that he was corrupt ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It was, but it was found to be untrue. The allegations were of minor corruption.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Was there provision in the Hyderabad Service Regulation for using four peons and for using more electrical energy ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The point was this. In the same office he was obliged to live because he had no other place. So he occupied part of the building while the other part was used for office. It was difficult to distinguish. Some furniture was used in the office and some in the house and it is

very difficult to bifurcate. Therefore these irregularities were found.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know why those irregularities were referred to the Anti-Corruption Department instead of the head of the Department concerned ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—When the petition was received, the allegations looked alarming. So, the Efficiency Audit went into them but found they were minor irregularities.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the status of the Deputy Excise Commissioner ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—He was a divisional officer for Excise.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is he of the status of a Collector ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Something like that of a Collector.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—'ಮೈನರ್ ಇರೆಗ್ಯುಲಾರಿಟೀಸ್' ಎಂದು ಒಂದು ರೂಪಾಯಿದೋ, ನೂರು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳದೋ, ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳದೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮೈನರ್ ಇರೆಗ್ಯುಲಾರಿಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಇರೆಗ್ಯುಲಾರಿಟೀಸ್ ಯಾವುವು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it possible for the Government to say who was the Officer of the Anti-Corruption Department that enquired into this matter ? What is the status of that officer who enquired into the allegations ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—He was a very competent officer. He was in charge of the entire Department at that time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—No name is to be mentioned.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I am not questioning the competency of the officer who enquired into the matter. I want to know the status of the officer who enquired into this matter.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—He was an officer competent to hold an enquiry. He was a Special Officer of Efficiency Audit.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—The Minister was pleased to say that there were 14 charges. Were there any recovery later for any of the faults there ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—There was no question of faults. That did not arise at all.

Government Advertisements to Newspapers.

Q.—2671. Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO (Hirekerur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the present policy of the Government in giving Government advertisements to newspapers ;

(*b*) whether it is in their contemplation to effect any change in the present policy ;

(*c*) the amount incurred by the Department of Information towards publicity charges from 1st November 1956 up-to-date and the names of papers and amount paid to them towards the said charges ?

A.—Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Labour and Legal Affairs).—

(*a*) The factors governing the selection of newspapers and periodicals for releasing Government advertisements are their status, class of people who read the same, its circulation, regularity in publication, production standard, etc.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) (i) Rs. 2,07,000.

(ii) *Vide* statement given below.